THE HONORABLE JAMES L. ROBART

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 AT SEATTLE 9 MICROSOFT CORPORATION, Case No. C10-1823-JLR 10 Plaintiff, PARTIES' JOINT SUBMISSION VS. 11 REGARDING TRIAL SCHEDULE 12 MOTOROLA, INC., et al., 13 Defendants. 14 MOTOROLA MOBILITY LLC, et al., 15 Plaintiffs, 16 VS. 17 MICROSOFT CORPORATION, 18 Defendants. 19 20 21 22 23 Court. 24

Plaintiff Microsoft Corporation ("Microsoft"), and defendants Motorola, Inc., Motorola Mobility LLC (formerly Motorola Mobility, Inc.) and General Instrument Corporation ("Motorola"), by and through their counsel below, hereby submit this Joint proposed schedule for fact and expert discovery, dispositive motions and trial on the remaining issues before the

The parties anticipate that the Court will issue its decision from the November, 2012 bench trial ("Decision from the First Trial") in the near future. The parties agree that,

PARTIES' JOINT SUBMISSION REGARDING TRIAL SCHEDULE - 1

25

following the Decision from the First Trial, an additional period of discovery is necessary on at least certain issues relating to Microsoft's claims. The parties further anticipate that additional summary judgment briefing may be warranted based on the Decision from the First Trial. The parties further note that, under the Court's dispositive motion cutoff rule, dispositive motions must be filed at least 120 days prior to the trial date. A party that seeks to modify this schedule must set forth "an extraordinary basis" for decreasing the period between the dispositive motion cutoff and the trial date to less than 120 days.

Accordingly, the parties propose the following approach to scheduling resolution of the remaining breach of contract issues:

- Supplementation of Discovery Responses: In accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(e), the parties will supplement their responses to any discovery requests relevant to Microsoft's claims.
 - Motorola's position: As Microsoft is the plaintiff seeking damages it is incumbent upon Microsoft to supplement immediately its discovery responses and not wait for the Decision from the First Trial.
 - O Microsoft's position: The parties should supplement by no later than 20 days after the Decision from the First Trial. If the Court determines that immediate supplementation is warranted, Microsoft believes it would be appropriate for both parties to supplement at the same time.

• Close of Fact Discovery:

Motorola's position: Fact discovery closed as of July 18, 2012. With respect to fact discovery as to remaining issues, there should be no additional limitations on discovery, and fact discovery should close 45 days after the Decision from the First Trial. Motorola agrees to serve fact discovery identifying all issues within ten (10) days after the Decision from the First Trial.

- Microsoft's position: Fact discovery as to liability closed as of July 18, 2012. Microsoft does not believe that there exist any remaining issues other than damage issues and has requested that Motorola identify any remaining non-damages issues. Motorola has not identified any such issues. Accordingly, Microsoft believes no additional fact depositions are necessary and fact discovery should close 40 days after the Decision from the First Trial.
- Exchange of Opening Expert Reports: 45 days after the Decision from the First Trial.
- Exchange of Rebuttal Expert Reports: 65 days after the Decision from the First Trial.
- Close of Expert Discovery: 80 days after the Decision from the First Trial (any expert offered for testimony at trial shall be subject to deposition, regardless of whether a new expert report has been submitted).
- Dispositive Motions:
 - Microsoft's Position: If the Court permits the filing of dispositive motions, Microsoft requests an expedited briefing schedule that would allow for an early trial date in August 2013. Microsoft requests that, at the status conference scheduled for March 14, 2013, Motorola identify any pre-trial motions it anticipates filing other than summary judgment, Daubert, or motions in limine.
 - Motorola's Position: On or before Monday, May 20, 2013, the parties will notify the Court whether they anticipate dispositive motions or other significant pre-trial motions, and further the parties will notify the Court regarding the types of pre-trial motions each anticipates. Motorola expects that there will be significant motion practice and believes the

25

Court's 120-day rule is appropriate. Thereafter, there will be a Status Conference to determine the trial date. If dispositive motions are filed, opening motions will be due on the later of 90 days after the Decision from the First Trial and Thursday, June 13, 2013.

• Trial Date:

- Motorola's position: The trial should occur between September 23 to
 October 25, 2012, if the Court is available, to allow time for dispositive
 motions and to accommodate counsel's schedule.
- Microsoft's position: Microsoft requests that trial be scheduled between
 August 5 and August 20, 2013.
- Otherwise, the parties agree that trial should be scheduled to begin on Monday, November 11, 2013, or as soon thereafter as the Court's schedule permits.
- Length of Trial: The parties anticipate that the time required for trial will depend on the Court's ruling on Microsoft's pending Motion to Confirm Bench Trial of Breach of Contract Issues, filed on March 8, 2013. The parties expect that a bench trial would require 4 total trial days and a jury trial would require 7 total trial days. The parties agree that, in either case, time will be divided evenly between the parties.

1	DATED this 13 th day of March, 2013.				
2	2 RESP	ECTFULLY SUBMITTED,			
3		MIT LAW GROUP PLLC			
3		Ralph H. Palumbo			
4	4 Ralph	H. Palumbo, WSBA #04751			
5	5 II	S. McCune, WSBA #21081			
	ralphr	M. Engel, WSBA #21934 @summitlaw.com			
6		@summitlaw.com			
7	, <u> </u>	© summitlaw.com			
0	D / /	TI V. M'II			
8	II = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	<i>Thomas V. Miller</i> as V. Miller			
9		DROLA MOBILITY LLC			
		orth U.S. Highway 45			
10	10 11	yville, IL 60048-1286			
11	$ \begin{array}{c c} & (847) \end{array} $	523-2162			
11	QUIN	N EMANUEL URQUHART &			
12	12 SULL	IVAN, LLP			
13	12				
13	By <u>/s/</u>	Kathleen M. Sullivan			
14		en M. Sullivan, NY #1804624			
	II	dison Ave., 22 nd Floor			
15	- II	York, NY 10010			
16	. 11	849-7000 ensullivan@quinnemanuel.com			
	Kume	ensunivan @ quimemanuei.com			
17	17 By <u>/s/</u>	Brian C. Cannon			
18	10 11	C. Cannon, CA #193071			
10	333 1	win Dolphin Drive, 5 th Floor			
19	19 11	ood Shores, CA 94065			
20	i.	801-5000 vannon@quinnemanuel.com			
20	20 <i>brianc</i>	amon & quimemanuei.com			
21		William C. Price			
22		m C. Price, CA #108542			
22		Figueroa Street, 10 th Floor			
23		ngeles, CA 90017 443-3000			
	willia	nprice@quinnemanuel.com			
24	24	7			
25	25				

1	CALFO HARRIGAN LEYH & EAKES LLP
	By s/Arthur W. Harrigan, Jr.
2	Arthur W. Harrigan, Jr., WSBA #1751
3	By s/Christopher Wion
4	Christopher Wion, WSBA #33207
5	By s/Shane P. Cramer
	Shane P. Cramer, WSBA #35099
6	999 Third Avenue, Suite 4400 Seattle, WA 98104
7	Phone: 206-623-1700
8	arthurh@calfoharrigan.com
	chrisw@calfoharrigan.com
9	shanec@calfoharrigan.com
10	
	By s/T. Andrew Culbert
11	T. Andrew Culbert
12	By <u>s/David E. Killough</u>
13	David E. Killough
	MICROSOFT CORPORATION
14	1 Microsoft Way
15	Redmond, WA 98052
16	Phone: 425-882-8080 Fax: 425-869-1327
16	1 dx. 425-007-1327
17	David T. Pritikin
18	Richard A. Cederoth Constantine L. Trela, Jr.
	William H. Baumgartner, Jr.
19	Ellen S. Robbins
20	Douglas I. Lewis
	David C. Giardina John W. McBride
21	David Greenfield
22	
23	SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
دے ا	One South Dearborn Chicago, IL 60603
24	Phone: 312-853-7000
25	Fax: 312-853-7036

Case 2:10-cv-01823-JLR Document 661 Filed 03/13/13 Page 7 of 9

1	Carter G. Phillips Brian R. Nester
2	SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
3	1501 K Street NW Washington, DC 20005
4	Telephone: 202-736-8000 Fax: 202-736-8711
5	
6	Counsel for Microsoft Corp.
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I, Susie Clifford, swear under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of					
2 3	Washington to the following:					
4	1. I am over the age of 21 and not a party to this	action				
5	2. On the 13 th day of March, 2013, I caused the	preced	ing document to be served			
6	on counsel of record in the following manner:					
7	Attorneys for Motorola Solutions, Inc., and Motorola Mo	obility,	Inc.:			
8	Ralph Palumbo, WSBA #04751 Philip S. McCune, WSBA #21081		_ Messenger			
9	Lynn M. Engel, WSBA #21934 Summit Law Group		_ US Mail _ Facsimile			
10	315 Fifth Ave. South, Suite 1000 Seattle, WA 98104-2682	X				
11	Telephone: 206-676-7000 Email: Summit1823@summitlaw.com					
12						
13	Steven Pepe (pro hac vice)		_ Messenger _ US Mail			
14	Jesse J. Jenner (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Ropes & Gray LLP		_ US Maii _ Facsimile			
15	1211 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036-8704	X	_ ECF			
16	Telephone: (212) 596-9046					
17	Email: steven.pepe@ropesgray.com Email: jesse.jenner@ropesgray.com					
18						
19	Norman H. Beamer (pro hac vice) Ropes & Gray LLP		_ Messenger _ US Mail			
20	1900 University Avenue, 6 th Floor East Palo Alto, CA 94303-2284	X	_ Facsimile ECF			
21	Telephone: (650) 617-4030	Λ	LC1			
22	Email: norman.beamer@ropesgray.com					
23						
24						

Case 2:10-cv-01823-JLR Document 661 Filed 03/13/13 Page 9 of 9

1	Paul M. Schoenhard (pro hac vice) Messenger Ropes & Gray LLP US Mail	
2	One Metro Center Facsimile	
3	700 12 th Street NW, Suite 900X ECF Washington, DC 20005-3948	
	Telephone: (202) 508-4693 Email: Paul.schoenhard@ropesgray.com	
4		
5	DATED this 13 th day of March, 2013.	
6		
7	s/Susie Clifford	_
8	SUSIE CLIFFORD	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

PARTIES' JOINT SUBMISSION REGARDING TRIAL SCHEDULE - 9